

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SHERMAN DIVISION**

JEANETTE SOLIS, *et. al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

EXPERIAN INFORMATION  
SOLUTIONS, INC., *et. al.*,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Civil Action No. 4:19-cv-529-RWS-KPJ

**ORDER**

The above-titled and numbered action was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On December 8, 2021, the Magistrate Judge entered proposed findings of fact and recommended (the “Report”) (Docket No. 21) that Plaintiffs David Cantong and Jason Barrow’s (“Plaintiffs”) Unopposed Motion to Transfer Venue to the Central District of California, Southern Division (the “Motion”) (Docket No. 14) be granted.


Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge’s Report have been filed, neither party is entitled to *de novo* review by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions and recommendations. Except on grounds of plain error, the parties are barred from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the District Court. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Assoc.*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded by statute on other grounds*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Nonetheless, the Court has reviewed the Motion (Docket No. 14) and the Magistrate Judge’s Report (Docket No. 21) and agrees with the Report. *See United States v. Raddatz*, 447 U.S. 667, 683 (1980) (“[T]he statute permits the district court to give the magistrate’s proposed findings of fact and recommendations ‘such weight as [their] merit commands and the sound

discretion of the judge warrants.’ ”) (quoting *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 275 (1976)).  
Accordingly, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge’s report and recommendation (Docket No. 21) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of this Court. Plaintiffs’ Motion (Docket No. 14) is **GRANTED** and this action is hereby **TRANSFERRED** to the Central District of California.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 21st day of January, 2022.**

  
ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE